

Planning Team Report

litional uses)	and Lully of		Health Centre, Cremo	ine (rezening and	
Proposal Title :	Parraween Street Ca additional uses)	ar Park and	Early Childhood Health (Centre, Cremorne (rezoning and	
Proposal Summary	St Car Park and adja	acent Early orker accor	Childhood Health Centre mmodation, open space p	ent of the Council owned Parrawee to a mixed use development arkland, basement parking, a new	
				Car Parking and Special Uses - cific provisions, 'car park' is propo	sec
PP Number :	PP_2012_NORTH_0	01_00	Dop File No :	12/04278	
oposal Details	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1.	and the second second	
94					
Date Planning Proposal Received	04-Apr-2012		LGA covered :	North Sydney	
Region :	Sydney Region East		RPA :	North Sydney Council	
State Electorate :	WILLOUGHBY		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal	
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			Λ\	
ocation Details					
Street : 1	06-108 Parraween Street				
Suburb : C	remorne	City :	NSW	Postcode : 2090	
		-		2817, Lots A & B, DP 318784 and	
	ot 1, DP 980451, zoned S -6 Langley Avenue	pecial Use	s - Car Parking		
Suburb : C	remorne	City :	NSW	Postcode : 2090	
Land Parcel : L	ots 1, 2, 3 & 4, DP 112278	R6 and Lots	1 & 2 386815 zoned Sne	cial Uses - Municipal Purposes	

Page 1 of 7

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Tharani Yoganathan
Contact Number :	0292286502
Contact Email :	tharani.yoganathan@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :	Mark Yee
Contact Number :	0299368100
Contact Email :	Mark.Yee@northsydney.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :	Juliet Grant
Contact Number :	0292286113
Contact Email :	juliet.grant@planning.nsw.gov.au

Land Release Data

	Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	
	Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro Inner North subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
	MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
	Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
	No. of Lots ;	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	20
	Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
	The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
	If No, comment :			
	Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
	If Yes, comment :			
ę	Supporting notes			
	Internal Supporting Notes :	COMPREHENSIVE LEP 2012		
		This planning proposal seeks to b Sydney Comprehensive LEP 2012 prepared by Parliamentary Couns year.	the 'draft plan'). The draft p	lan is currently being
		PREVIOUS EXHIBITIONS		
		Concept material for the propose	d redevelopment was placed o	on public exhibition from 3

November 2009 - 18 January 2010. No objections were raised. The revised controls were exhibited in the draft plan from 20 January - 31 March 2011. No objections were raised. **CLASSIFICATION OF LAND** On 9 August 1993 Council resolved to classify the subject land as "operational land". In preparing the draft plan, Council proposed to confirm the classification of the site as "operational land" in line with best practice guidelines "Classification and reclassification of public land through a Local Environmental Plan" (2009). In accordance with s.29 of the Local Government Act 1993, a public hearing was held on 1 June 2011. No objection was raised to the "operational land" classification at the public hearing. LAND OWNERSHIP The land is jointly owned by North Sydney Council and Mosman Council. External Supporting Notes : Adequacy Assessment Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a) Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes Comment : The objective of the proposal is to enable new and upgraded community facilities to be constructed on land jointly owned by North Sydney Council and Mosman Council. The redevelopment proposal consists of: 1) affordable housing apartments for key workers such as police, nurses and teachers that work in the area; 2) replacing the at grade Parraween Car Park with two levels of basement parking; 3) an upgraded early childhood health centre; 4) a new landscaped park; and 5) a new cafe. Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b) Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes Comment : The planning proposal will: -rezone the site from Special Uses to Mixed Uses - amends the LEP map to set a building height limit of 12m and a non-residential floor space ratio range of 0:0-2:1 -include a site specific provision in Part 5 to allow the additional permitted use of 'car park' - classify the site as operational land under Schedule 11 - Operational Land.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)	
a) Has Council's strate	gy been agreed to by the D	Director General? No
b) S.117 directions ider	ntified by RPA :	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones
* May need the Directo	r General's agreement	3.1 Residential Zones 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
Is the Director Gene	eral's agreement required?	Yes
c) Consistent with Stan	dard Instrument (LEPs) Or	rder 2006 : No
d) Which SEPPs have	the RPA identified?	SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		
Have inconsistencies w	vith items a), b) and d) bein	ng adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :		h section 117 direction 1.1, 6.2 and 7.1 are discussed under the a section of this report.
Mapping Provided -	s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided?	res	
Comment :		
Community consult	ation - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consult	tation been proposed? Yes	5
Comment :	Council has not nor considered a low im	ninated a timeframe for exhibition of the planning proposal. This is npact proposal.
Additional Director	General's requiremer	nts
Are there any additiona	I Director General's require	ements? No
If Yes, reasons :		
Overall adequacy o	f the proposal	
Does the proposal mee	et the adequacy criteria? Ye	es
If No, comment :		
roposal Assessment	and starting in the	and the part of the second state of the second
Principal LEP:		
Due Date : August 201	2	6
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :		y LEP (draft plan) is currently being prepared by Parliamentary o be re-exhibited in the first half of this year.
		oposed to be zoned R4 under the draft plan. However, Council has w seek a B4 mixed use zoning, consistent with this Planning

Proposal.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal is being pursued due to the delay of the making of the draft Comprehensive plan. The proposed redevelopment scheme will significantly enhance Council's asset and is in line with the goals of Council Delivery Program.
	The proposal will reclassify the land to operational and amend the non residential floor space from 0.5:1-2:1 to 0.0:1-2:1. The proposal will also provide affordable housing to key workers, public open space, increase capacity of the car park from 63 spaces and provide improvements for the early childhood health centre. These resources will be beneficial for the community.
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is consistent with the Metropolitan Plan and Draft Inner North Subregional Strategy as it seeks to provide for residential accommodation, child care and increase open space that is in close proximity to public transport services. However the proposal is inconsistent with the Subregional Strategy's employment job target and is inconsistent with section 117 direction 7.1. This inconsistency is further discussed below.
	The proposal is consistent with all section 117 direction, with the exception of the ones below:
	1.1 Business and Industrial Zones The planning proposal is inconsistent with this direction because it reduces the potential commercial floor space for employment uses by reducing the minimum non residential FSR. Council will reduce the minimum non-residential FSR from 0.5:1 to 0.0:1. It is considered that Council does not have to further justify this inconsistency as this reduction in minimum non residential FSR will continue to allow commercial floor space. The planning proposal seeks to have non residential uses including cafe and new early childhood health centre. A large portion of the site will be dedicated for open space purposes. It is not certain if the proposed redevelopment will meet the minimum non residential FSR requirement of 0.5:1, hence the reason for reducing the non residential FSR to 0.0:1.
	6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purpose The direction states that a draft LEP shall not create, alter or reduce existing zoning or reservations of land for public purposes without the approval of the Director General. The proposal creates open space and will increase the amount of land reserved for a public purpose. This is considered to be beneficial to the community therefore this non compliance is considered to be of minor significance.
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036. The planning proposal is inconsistent with the Metropolitan Plan as it will reduce capacity to achieve employment targets (as mentioned in justification for Direction 1.1). This inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance and will be outweighed by the benefits to the community. In addition, North Sydney Centre has an employment target of 11000 jobs. In its preparation of the Standard Instrument LEP, Council has demonstrated sufficiently that it can achieve its 2031 employment targets. Further justification of the inconsistencies from Council are not required.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The planning proposal will not adversely affect the environment.
	The planning proposal will provide a social benefit to the community as it provides key worker housing, open space and an early childhood healthcare centre.
	The increase in capacity in the public car park will provide an economic benefit through increased visitors, which will support local businesses.

Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 Month	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)			
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No	
(2)(a) Should the matter	r proceed ?	Yes	
If no, provide reasons :			
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No		
If Yes, reasons :			
Identify any additional s	tudies, if required. :		
If Other, provide reason	s [.]		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			
Identify any internal con No internal consultatio		:	
No internal consultation Is the provision and func- If Yes, reasons :	on required	: cture relevant to this plan? No	
No internal consultation Is the provision and func- If Yes, reasons : cuments	on required	cture relevant to this plan? No	
No internal consultation Is the provision and func- If Yes, reasons :	on required		Name Is Public
No internal consultation Is the provision and fund If Yes, reasons : Cuments Document File Name Inning Team Recom Preparation of the plann	on required ding of state infrastruct mendation ning proposal supporte	ed at this stage : Recommended with Co	
No internal consultation Is the provision and fund If Yes, reasons : Cuments Document File Name	mendation 1.1 Business and 3.1 Residential Zo 3.4 Integrating La 6.2 Reserving La	eture relevant to this plan? No DocumentType N DocumentType N ed at this stage : Recommended with Co Industrial Zones ones and Use and Transport Referral Requirements nd for Public Purposes	
No internal consultation Is the provision and fund If Yes, reasons : Cuments Document File Name Inning Team Recom Preparation of the plann	mendation 1.1 Business and 3.1 Residential Zo 3.4 Integrating La 6.2 Reserving Lau 6.3 Site Specific F	eture relevant to this plan? No DocumentType N DocumentType N ed at this stage : Recommended with Co Industrial Zones ones and Use and Transport Referral Requirements nd for Public Purposes	nditions
No internal consultation Is the provision and func- If Yes, reasons : Cuments Document File Name Inning Team Recom Preparation of the plann S.117 directions:	mendation Ming proposal supporter 1.1 Business and 3.1 Residential Zo 3.4 Integrating La 6.1 Approval and 6.2 Reserving Lan 6.3 Site Specific F The Planning Pro	eture relevant to this plan? No DocumentType N ed at this stage : Recommended with Co Industrial Zones ones and Use and Transport Referral Requirements nd for Public Purposes Provisions	Inditions
No internal consultation Is the provision and func- If Yes, reasons : Cuments Document File Name Inning Team Recom Preparation of the plann S.117 directions:	mendation ing proposal supporte 1.1 Business and 3.1 Residential Ze 3.4 Integrating La 6.1 Approval and 6.2 Reserving Lar 6.3 Site Specific F The Planning Pro (1) Community co	eture relevant to this plan? No DocumentType N DocumentType N ed at this stage : Recommended with Co Industrial Zones ones and Use and Transport Referral Requirements and for Public Purposes Provisions uposal should proceed subject to the fol	Inditions

(4) The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with the Section 117 Directions and a Director General's approval to proceed under Direction 6.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes is granted.

(5) The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 9 months from the week following the date of the Gateway Determination.

Supporting Reasons : The planning proposal should be approved as it provides public open space, key worker housing and an early childhood health centre, which will be beneficial for the community of Cremorne. Relying on the draft Comprehensive LEP to be made will cause delay in the progress of development of this site.

Signature:

Printed Name:

Janijela Karde - Cooke Date:

12 April 2012

